UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,150	08/22/2003	Sheldon H. Foss JR.	03001.1020	8917
35856 7590 09/14/2010 SMITH FROHWEIN TEMPEL GREENLEE BLAHA, LLC			EXAMINER	
Two Ravinia Drive Suite 700 ATLANTA, GA 30346			ROSEN, ELIZABETH H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3684	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/646,150	FOSS ET AL.		
ELIZABETH H. ROSEN	3684		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 23 August 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include:	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal bri brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not	<b>Appeals and Interferences</b> – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6, 8-1 Claim(s) withdrawn from con	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Vincent Millin</u> .	(3) <u>Elizabeth Rosen/ER/</u> .
(2) <u>Kambiz Abdi /KA/</u> .	(4) <u>Vincent Millin/vm/</u> Appeals Practice Specialist.